

1- t -Motifs

Abstract. We show that the module of rational points on an abelian t -module E is canonically isomorphic with the module $\text{Ext}^1(M_E, K[t])$ of extensions of the trivial t -motif $K[t]$ by the t -motif M_E associated with E . This generalizes prior results of Anderson and Thakur, Papanikolas and Ramachandran, and Woo.

In case E is uniformizable then we show that this extension module is canonically isomorphic with the corresponding extension module of Pink-Hodge structures.

This situation is formally very similar to Deligne's theory of 1-motifs and we have tried to build up the theory in a way that makes this analogy as clear as possible.

Contents

1	Introduction & statement of the main results	1
2	Duality for torsion modules over $k[[z]]$	4
3	Effective t -motifs and abelian t -modules	4
4	Algebraic theory of 1- t -motifs	9
5	Uniformization and Hodge structures	13
6	Transcendental theory of 1- t -motifs	17

1. Introduction & statement of the main results

Anderson and Thakur [3] have shown that the group of K -rational points on the n -th tensor power of the Carlitz module is canonically isomorphic with the group of extensions of the corresponding t -motif $C^{\otimes n}$ over K by the trivial t -motif $K[t]$, and Papanikolas and Ramachandran [9] and Woo [15] have a similar result for points on Drinfeld modules.

We provide a generalization of these results.

The present treatment is less dependent on calculations and more visibly functorial than the prior ones in [3] and [9]. In fact, this paper grew out of an attempt to understand these results, and it was written with the belief that with an approach which is sufficiently intrinsic and functorial the results would follow in their full generality at once, without extra effort.

We now summarize the main results of this paper.

Fix a finite field k of q elements and a field K containing k . Consider the skew polynomial ring $K[\sigma]$ whose elements are polynomials $\sum_i x_i \sigma^i$ and where

multiplication is defined by the rule $\sigma x = x^q \sigma$ for all $x \in K$. Note that $K[\sigma]$ is the ring of endomorphisms of the k -vector space scheme $\mathbf{G}_{a,K}$, in particular, if q is a prime number then $K[\sigma]$ is the endomorphism ring of the additive group scheme over K . Note that k is central in $K[\sigma]$. Denote by $K[\sigma, t]$ the ring $k[t] \otimes_k K[\sigma]$. Elements of this ring can be identified with polynomials in t and σ over K and multiplication satisfies $t\sigma = \sigma t$, $tx = xt$ and $\sigma x = x^q \sigma$ for all x in K .

The field K is naturally a left $K[\sigma]$ -module through $\sigma x = x^q$ and similarly the ring $K[t]$ is naturally a left $K[t, \sigma]$ -module.

Theorem 1. *Let M be a left $K[t, \sigma]$ -module which is free and finitely generated both as a $K[t]$ -module and as a $K[\sigma]$ -module. There is an isomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t]),$$

functorially in M and compatible with field extensions $K \rightarrow K'$.

The proof of this theorem will be given in section §4.

Our main interest in this theorem lies in the case where M is an (effective) t -motif. Assume that a k -algebra homomorphism $\imath : k[t] \rightarrow K$ has been fixed (the “structure homomorphism”), and that relative to this homomorphism M is an effective t -motif which is finitely generated over $K[\sigma]$ (see ¶3.1 for the definition). Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K)$ is the module $E(K)$ of K -valued points of the abelian t -module E associated to M (see ¶3.2), M satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$E(K) = \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t]). \quad (1)$$

For M a tensor power of the Carlitz module this was proven by Anderson and Thakur [3], and for M a Drinfeld module a similar result was shown by Papanikolas and Ramachandran [9] (see the end of section §4 for the precise relation between their results and ours.) The isomorphism (1) should be compared with the canonical isomorphism

$$A^\vee(L) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(A, \mathbf{G}_m)$$

for an abelian variety A over a field L . Note however that the collection of t -motifs M to which (1) applies is closed under tensor product, very much unlike the analogous situation with Abelian varieties.

The isomorphism (1) allows us to work with extensions of t -motifs like M by Artin t -motifs (t -motifs that are trivialised by a finite separable extension of K , in other words: t -motifs corresponding to *finite* image Galois representations) in much the same way as one uses Deligne’s theory of 1-motifs to work with mixed motifs of weights -1 and 0 .

We call a *1- t -module* a triple (X, E, u) consisting of

- (1) a free and finitely generated $k[t]$ -module X equipped with a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$;
- (2) an abelian t -module E over K ;

(3) a $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -equivariant homomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules $u : X \rightarrow E(K^{\text{sep}})$.

A *1- t -motif* is an effective t -motif $\tilde{M}$ that is an extension of an effective t -motif M which is finitely generated as a $K[\sigma]$ -module by an Artin t -motif V . In §4 we show how the canonical isomorphism (1) implies that the category of 1- t -modules is anti-equivalent with the category of 1- t -motifs. Under this equivalence M is determined by E , V by X and the extension class of $\tilde{M}$ by u , via (1).

The second part of the paper provides an analytic interpretation of the extension group $\text{Ext}^1(M, K[t])$ in terms of Hodge structures of t -motifs. We need to assume that $\iota : k[t] \rightarrow K$ is injective (K has “generic characteristic”), that K is a local field with absolute value $|\cdot|$ and that $|\iota(t)| > 1$ (K has the “ ∞ -adic topology”). Denote by C the completion of the algebraic closure of K .

Let M be an effective t -motif over K which is finitely generated as a $K[\sigma]$ -module and denote by E the associated abelian t -module. Denote by $\text{Lie}_E(C)$ the tangent space at zero to E_C . This is naturally a C -vector space and by functoriality it is also a $k[t]$ -module. There is a unique holomorphic map

$$\exp_E : \text{Lie}_E(C) \longrightarrow E(C)$$

which is $k[t]$ -linear and tangent to the identity map $\text{id} : E(C) \rightarrow E(C)$. The kernel of $\exp_E$ is a lattice, that is, a discrete and finitely generated $k[t]$ -submodule. We say that E is *uniformizable* if $\exp_E$ is surjective. This is equivalent with M being *analytically trivial* (see §5 for the definition.) Also the “unit” effective t -motif $K[t]$ (which does not correspond to an abelian t -module since it is not finitely generated as a $K[\sigma]$ -module) is analytically trivial and any extension of one analytically trivial effective t -motif by another is analytically trivial (see Proposition 11.)

In §5 we define (a primitive version of) the Hodge structure $H(M)$ of an analytically trivial effective t -motif M , following Pink [10]. Also we relate the uniformization of an abelian t -module E to the Hodge structure $H(M)$ of the corresponding t -motif M .

In §6 we show:

Theorem 2. *Let M be an effective t -motif over K , finitely generated over $K[\sigma]$ and analytically trivial. The natural map*

$$\text{Ext}_{C[t, \sigma]}^1(M_C, C[t]) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H(M_C), H(C[t]))$$

that maps the class of an extension of t -motifs to the class of its Hodge structure is an isomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules.

This is an analogue of Deligne’s theorem [4, §10] on the equivalence between 1-motifs and Hodge structures of type $\{(0, 0), (0, -1), (-1, 0), (-1, -1)\}$, with the exception that we do not say anything about which Hodge structures occur as $H(M)$ for some analytically trivial effective t -motif M , finitely generated over $K[\sigma]$. This appears to be a difficult problem.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for pointing out a mistake in a previous version of Proposition 8.

2. Duality for torsion modules over $k[[z]]$

Let k be a field and $k[[z]]$ the ring of power series in a single variable z over k . This section recalls some easy facts about finitely generated torsion modules over $k[[z]]$. Not only will we use some of these facts later on, but we will also carry out a number of constructions that are directly inspired by them.

Denote by $k((z))$ the field of Laurent series in z . If $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism of $k[[z]]$ -modules, then we write α_o for the induced map $M \otimes k((z)) \rightarrow N \otimes k((z))$. We denote by $\text{Res}_{z=0}$ the residue map from $k((z))$ to k that maps a Laurent series to its coefficient of z^{-1} . (So we have silently identified $\hat{\Omega}_{k((z))/k}$ with $k((z))$ by choosing the generator dz .)

Let

$$0 \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\pi} T \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

be a short exact sequence of finitely generated $k[[z]]$ -modules, with T a torsion module and F and G free. It follows that α_o is an isomorphism. This short exact sequence induces a short exact sequence of $k[[z]]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(G, k[[z]]) \xrightarrow{\alpha^t} \text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(F, k[[z]]) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_k(T, k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

where the surjection is given by

$$\varphi \longmapsto [\pi(g) \mapsto \text{Res}_{z=0} \varphi_o(\alpha_o^{-1}(g))].$$

The short exact sequence (3) can be identified with

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(G, k[[z]]) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(F, k[[z]]) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{k[[z]]}^1(T, k[[z]]) \longrightarrow 0,$$

the long exact sequence of cohomology obtained by applying $\text{Hom}(-, k[[z]])$ to (2). Also, the residue map yields an isomorphism of $k[[z]]$ -modules

$$\text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(T, k((z))/k[[z]]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_k(T, k): f \mapsto \text{Res}_{z=0} \circ f.$$

Alternatively, one can directly obtain an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{k[[z]]}(T, k((z))/k[[z]]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}_{k[[z]]}^1(T, k[[z]])$$

by applying $\text{Hom}(T, -)$ to the injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow k[[z]] \longrightarrow k((z)) \longrightarrow k((z))/k[[z]] \longrightarrow 0$$

of $k[[z]]$.

3. Effective t -motifs and abelian t -modules

In this section we define effective t -motifs, abelian t -modules and recall Anderson's correspondence [1] between certain effective t -motifs and abelian t -modules.

We fix a finite field k of q elements.

3.1. Effective t -motifs. Let R be a commutative ring containing k and $R[t]$ the polynomial ring in one variable t over R . Denote by $\tau : R[t] \rightarrow R[t]$ the ring endomorphism that restricts to the q -th power Frobenius endomorphism on R and that fixes t . If M is an $R[t]$ -module then we define the pull-back of M along τ as follows:

$$M' := \tau^* M = M \otimes_{R[t], \tau} R[t].$$

We give M' the structure of an $R[t]$ -module through the second factor in the tensor product. There is a canonical $k[t]$ -linear map from M to M' , which we denote by τ . It is given by

$$\tau : M \rightarrow M' : m \mapsto m \otimes 1.$$

Definition 1. A σ -module over $R[t]$ is a pair (M, σ) of an $R[t]$ -module M and an $R[t]$ -linear map $\sigma : M' \rightarrow M$. A morphism of σ -modules is a morphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of $R[t]$ -modules such that $f \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ f'$.

We will usually suppress the σ from the notation and write M for a σ -module (M, σ) .

The category of σ -modules is abelian and $k[t]$ -linear. We denote the $k[t]$ -module of morphisms $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R[t], \sigma}(M_1, M_2).$$

Remark 1. Let $R[\sigma]$ denote the ring whose elements are polynomials $\sum x_i \sigma^i$ in σ but where multiplication is defined through $\sigma x = x^q \sigma$ for all $x \in R$. Note that k is central in $R[\sigma]$. Write $R[t, \sigma]$ for the tensor product $k[t] \otimes_k R[\sigma]$. Let (M, σ_M) be a σ -module over $R[t]$. Then M has naturally the structure of a left $R[t, \sigma]$ -module through $\sigma m := \sigma_M(\tau(m))$. In fact, this construction defines an isomorphism of categories between the category of σ -modules over $R[t]$ and the category of left modules over $R[t, \sigma]$. We will use the language of σ -modules and the language of $R[t, \sigma]$ -modules interchangeably, each time choosing the most convenient for the task at hand. There is considerable abuse of notation in denoting the linear map $\sigma : M' \rightarrow M$ and the semi-linear action of $\sigma \in R[t, \sigma]$ on M by the same symbol σ . We hope this will not lead to confusion.

If (M_1, σ_1) and (M_2, σ_2) are σ -modules then we define their tensor product to be the σ -module $(M_1 \otimes_{R[t]} M_2, \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2)$. Similarly one can define symmetric and exterior powers. In particular, given a σ -module M whose underlying module is locally free of some constant rank r then one can consider its determinant $\det(M) := \wedge^r M$ which is a σ -module that is locally free of rank one.

If $R \rightarrow S$ is a k -algebra homomorphism and M a σ -module over R then we denote by M_S the σ -module over S obtained by extension of scalars.

Now let K be a field containing k and fix a k -algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{i} : k[t] \rightarrow K$. We denote the image of t by $\theta \in K$. One should think of $\mathfrak{i}$ as an analogue to the canonical homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}$ to any commutative ring. From now on we shall always consider the field K as a $k[t]$ -algebra, so we will silently consider the distinguished element $\mathfrak{i}(t) = \theta \in K$ to be part of the data when referring to “ K ”.

Definition 2. An *effective t -motif*¹ of rank r over K is a σ -module M over K whose underlying module is free of rank r and such that the cokernel of the linear map $\sigma : M' \rightarrow M$ is annihilated by some power of $t - \theta$.

The condition on σ is equivalent with the condition that $\det(M)$ is isomorphic with the σ -module $(K[t]e, \tau e \mapsto \alpha(t - \theta)^n e)$ for some $\alpha \in K^\times$ and $n \geq 0$.

If λ is a maximal ideal of $k[t]$ then we write $k[t]_\lambda$ for the λ -adic completion of $k[t]$ and $k(t)_\lambda$ for its quotient field. For every λ the $k[t]_\lambda$ -module

$$T_\lambda(M) := (M \otimes_{K[t]} K^{\text{sep}}[t]_\lambda)^{\sigma\tau}$$

of $\sigma\tau$ -invariants carries a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ and

$$V_\lambda(M) := T_\lambda(M) \otimes_{k[t]_\lambda} k(t)_\lambda$$

is a $k(t)_\lambda$ -vector space with a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$.

The following proposition gives some justification for the “motivic” terminology (which is Anderson’s), but we stress that we are merely dealing with an *analogy*; there is no known direct relation with any kind of algebro-geometric motifs.

Proposition 1 (Thm 3.3 of [7]). *Assume that $\imath$ is injective and that K is finite over its subfield $k(\theta)$. Let M be an effective t -motif over K of rank r . Then $\dim V_\lambda(M) = r$ for all λ . Moreover, there exists a finite set S of places of K such that*

- (1) *for every place $v \notin S$ and for all non-zero prime ideals λ coprime with $\imath^*v$ the representation $V_\lambda(M)$ is unramified at v ;*
- (2) *for these λ and v the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius at v has coefficients in $k[t]$ and is independent of λ .* $\square$

In other words: the $V_\lambda(M)$ form a “strictly compatible system” of Galois representations à la Serre [11].

Example 1. Assume that $\imath$ is injective and that K is finite over $k(\theta)$. Let C be the *Carlitz t -motif* over K . This is the rank one effective t -motif given by

$$C = (K[t]e, \tau e \mapsto (t - \theta)e).$$

Let v be a finite place of K (i.e. v does not lie above the place $\theta = \infty$ of $k(\theta) \subset K$.) Let $f \in k[\theta]$ be a monic generator of the ideal in $k[\theta]$ corresponding to the norm of v in $k(\theta) \subset K$. One verifies that

- (1) the representation $V_\lambda(C)$ is unramified at v for all λ coprime with $\imath^*v$;
- (2) for such λ we have that Frob_v acts as $f(t)^{-1} \in k(t)$.

So C plays the role of the Lefschetz motif $\mathbf{Z}(-1)$.

¹ The terminology used here is that of [13]. What is called a “ t -motive” in [1] would be an “effective t -motif that is finitely generated as a $K[\sigma]$ -module” in our language.

3.2. Abelian t -modules. Let (M, σ) be a σ -module over K . Now consider the functor

$$E_M: \{K\text{-algebras}\} \rightarrow \{k[t]\text{-modules}\}: R \mapsto \text{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, R),$$

where R is a left $K[\sigma]$ -module through $\sigma r = r^q$. This functor is representable by an affine $k[t]$ -module scheme over K , which is not necessarily of finite type over K .

Conversely, given a $k[t]$ -module scheme E over K define

$$M_E := \text{Hom}_{\text{gr.sch.}/K}(E, \mathbf{G}_a),$$

which is naturally a left $K[t]$ -module. The q -th power Frobenius map $\tau: \tau^* \mathbf{G}_a \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a$ induces a linear map $\sigma: M'_E \rightarrow M_E$ which makes M_E into a σ -module over $K[t]$.

Proposition 2 (§1 of [1], §10 of [12]). *The functors $M \mapsto E_M$ and $E \mapsto M_E$ form a pair of quasi-inverse anti-equivalences between the categories of effective t -motifs M over K that are finitely generated as left $K[\sigma]$ -modules and the category of $k[t]$ -module schemes E over K that satisfy*

- (1) *for some $d \geq 0$ the group schemes $E_{\bar{K}}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{a, \bar{K}}^d$ are isomorphic;*
- (2) *$t - \theta$ acts nilpotently on $\text{Lie}(E)$;*
- (3) *M_E is finitely generated as a $K[t]$ -module.*

These anti-equivalences commute with base change $K \rightarrow K'$. □

Definition 3. A $k[t]$ -module scheme E satisfying the above three conditions is called an *abelian t -module* of dimension d . An abelian t -module of dimension one is called a *Drinfeld module*.

The tangent space at the identity of E can be expressed in terms of M_E as follows:

Proposition 3 (see [1]). $\text{Lie}_E(K) = \text{Hom}_K(M_E/\sigma M'_E, K)$ as $K[[t - \theta]]$ -modules.

Also the Galois representations associated with M_E can be expressed in terms of E . If $\lambda = (f) \subset k[t]$ is a non-zero prime ideal then define the λ -adic Tate module of E to be

$$V_\lambda(E) := (\varprojlim_n E[f^n](K^{\text{sep}})) \otimes_{k[t]_\lambda} k(t)_\lambda.$$

If M is the effective t -motif associated with E then we have

Proposition 4. $V_\lambda(M) = \text{Hom}(V_\lambda(E), k(t)_\lambda)$. □

3.3. Weights and Dieudonné t -modules. In this section we recall the definition of the weights of an effective t -motif [13]. This will allow for a more useful equivalent description of the “finitely generated over $K[\sigma]$ ” condition.

As usual k is a finite field of q elements and K a field containing k . Denote by τ the continuous endomorphism of the field of Laurent series $K((t^{-1}))$ that fixes t^{-1} and that restricts to the q -th power map on K . Note that $K((t^{-1}))^\tau = k((t^{-1}))$. If V is a $K((t^{-1}))$ -vector space then we denote the pull-back by τ of V by V' .

Definition 4. A Dieudonné t -module over K is a pair (V, σ) consisting of

- (1) a finite-dimensional $K((t^{-1}))$ -vector space V and
- (2) a $K((t^{-1}))$ -linear isomorphism $\sigma : V' \rightarrow V$.

A morphism of Dieudonné t -module is a $K((t^{-1}))$ -linear map compatible with σ .

Just as with σ -modules one can also consider Dieudonné t -module as modules over the skew polynomial ring $K((t^{-1}))[\sigma]$ whose elements are polynomials in σ and where $\sigma t = t\sigma$ and $\sigma x = x^q\sigma$.

Dieudonné t -modules over a separably closed field admit a simple classification. The main ‘building blocks’ are the following modules:

Definition 5. Let $\mu = s/r$ be a rational number with $(r, s) = 1$ and $r > 0$. The Dieudonné t -module V_μ is defined to be the pair (V_μ, σ) with

- (1) $V_\mu := K((t^{-1}))e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus K((t^{-1}))e_r$
- (2) $\sigma\tau e_i := e_{i+1}$ ($i < r$) and $\sigma\tau e_r := t^s e_1$

Proposition 5. If K is separably closed then the category of Dieudonné t -modules over K is semi-simple. The simple objects are the V_μ with $\mu \in \mathbf{Q}$ and $V_\mu \cong V_\nu$ if and only if $\mu = \nu$.

Note that this classification is formally identical to the classification of the classical (p -adic) Dieudonné modules [5].

Proof. This is shown in [8, Appendix B]. Although the statements therein are made only for a particular field K , nowhere do the proofs make use of anything stronger than the separably closedness of K . $\square$

Let V/K be a Dieudonné t -module. Then by the above Proposition there exist rational numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ such that

$$V_{K^{\text{sep}}} \cong V_{\mu_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\mu_n},$$

where $V_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ denotes the completed tensor product $V \hat{\otimes}_K K^{\text{sep}}$. We call these rational numbers the *weights* of V . If M/K is a σ -module which is finitely generated over $K[t]$ then

$$M((t^{-1})) := M \otimes_{K[t]} K((t^{-1}))$$

is naturally a Dieudonné t -module and we define the weights of M to be the weights of $M((t^{-1}))$.

Proposition 6. *Let M be a σ -module, free and finitely generated as $K[t]$ -module. Then M is finitely generated as $K[\sigma]$ -module if and only if M is a σ -module and all weights of M are positive.*

Proof. The “if” part is shown in Theorem 5.3.1 of [13], the “only if” part in Proposition 8 of [14]. $\square$

Corollary 1. *The functors $M \mapsto E_M$ and $E \mapsto M_E$ form a pair of quasi-inverse anti-equivalences between the categories of effective t -motifs M/K whose weights are positive and the category of abelian t -modules over K .*

Finally we prove a useful fact about extensions of Dieudonné t -modules over arbitrary fields.

Proposition 7. *Let V and W be Dieudonné t -modules over K . If V and W have no weights in common then $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(V, W) = 0$ in the category of Dieudonné t -modules over K .*

Proof. A non-zero morphism $V \rightarrow W$ would induce a non-zero morphism $V_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}} \rightarrow W_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}$ after base change, and such a morphism cannot exist by Proposition 5.

If U is an extension of V by W then $U_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}$ splits by Proposition 5. So U is a form over K of the split extension $V_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}} \oplus W_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}$ over K . Such forms are classified by the Galois cohomology group

$$H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K), \mathrm{Aut}(V_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}} \oplus W_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}))$$

but since the weights of V and W are disjoint we have

$$\mathrm{Aut}(V_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}} \oplus W_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}) = \mathrm{Aut}(V_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}) \times \mathrm{Aut}(W_{K^{\mathrm{sep}}}),$$

and it follows that any extension of V by W splits over K . $\square$

4. Algebraic theory of 1- t -motifs

Theorem 1 (repeated from §1). *Let M be a left $K[t, \sigma]$ -module which is free and finitely generated both as a $K[t]$ -module and as a $K[\sigma]$ -module. There is an isomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t]),$$

functorially in M and compatible with field extensions $K \rightarrow K'$.

Corollary 2. *If M is the effective t -motif associated to an abelian t -module E we have a natural isomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules $E(K) = \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t])$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. We will produce the desired isomorphism as the composition of two isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K) \longleftarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, K((t^{-1}))/K[t]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t]).$$

The residue map (coefficient of t^{-1}) defines a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K) \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, K((t^{-1}))/K[t]),$$

which is the first of the two isomorphisms.

To obtain the second isomorphism apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K[t] \rightarrow K((t^{-1})) \rightarrow K((t^{-1}))/K[t] \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields a connecting homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, K((t^{-1}))/K[t]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K[t]).$$

To show that it is an isomorphism it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, K((t^{-1}))) = \mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K((t^{-1}))) = 0.$$

Consider the $k[t]$ -linear map

$$\delta: \mathrm{Hom}_{K[t]}(M, K((t^{-1}))) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{K[t]}(M', K((t^{-1}))) : f \mapsto f \circ \sigma - \tau \circ f.$$

The kernel of δ is $\mathrm{Hom}_{K[t, \sigma]}(M, K((t^{-1})))$ and the cokernel is $\mathrm{Ext}_{K[t, \sigma]}^1(M, K((t^{-1})))$. Moreover, δ coincides with the $k((t^{-1}))$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{K((t^{-1}))}(M((t^{-1})), K((t^{-1}))) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{K((t^{-1}))}(M'((t^{-1})), K((t^{-1}))) \\ f &\longmapsto f \circ \sigma - \tau \circ f \end{aligned}$$

and its kernel and cokernel are $\mathrm{Hom}(M((t^{-1})), K((t^{-1})))$ and $\mathrm{Ext}(M((t^{-1})), K((t^{-1})))$ respectively (both in the category of Dieudonné t -modules), which vanish by Proposition 7. $\square$

Remark 2. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow K$ be an element of $E_M(K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{K[\sigma]}(M, K)$. Then the corresponding extension $0 \rightarrow K[t] \rightarrow M_\mu \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ can be described explicitly as

$$M_\mu := \left\{ (m, f) \in M \times K((t^{-1})) : f - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu(t^i m) t^{-i-1} \in K[t] \right\}. \quad (4)$$

In case M is a tensor power of the Carlitz t -motif such a description is used in [3].

Definition 6. A 1- t -module over K is a triple (X, E, u) consisting of

- (1) a free and finitely generated $k[t]$ -module X equipped with a continuous action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$;
- (2) an abelian t -module E over K ;
- (3) a $\mathrm{Gal}(K^{\mathrm{sep}}/K)$ -equivariant homomorphism of $k[t]$ -modules $u : X \rightarrow E(K^{\mathrm{sep}})$.

We now construct a t -motif $\tilde{M}$ associated with a 1- t -module (X, E, u) . From X one builds the t -motif

$$V := \text{Hom}_{k[t]}(X, K^{\text{sep}}[t])^{\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)}.$$

This is a $K[t]$ -module which is free of rank the $k[t]$ -rank of M and since $\tau : K^{\text{sep}}[t] \rightarrow K^{\text{sep}}[t]$ commutes with the action of G_K it defines a map $\sigma : V \rightarrow V$ which makes V into a t -motif over K . This V is an Artin t -motif:

Definition 7. An *Artin t -motif over K* is an effective t -motif V over K such that $V_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ is isomorphic to the effective t -motif $K^{\text{sep}}[t]^r$, where r is the rank of V .

One easily verifies that $X \mapsto V$ is an anti-equivalence from the category of continuous $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$ -modules over $k[t]$ that are free of finite rank over $k[t]$ to the category of Artin t -motifs over K .

Let M be the effective t -motif associated to E . The map u defines through Theorem 1 an extension of $K[t, \sigma]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

which defines the t -motif $\tilde{M}$ associated with (X, E, u) .

Definition 8. A *1- t -motif* is a t -motif $\tilde{M}$ which fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with V an Artin t -motif and M a t -motif of strictly positive weights.

Note that the exact sequence is uniquely determined by $\tilde{M}$, so from propositions 2, 6, and 1 we obtain:

Corollary 3. *The above construction $(X, E, u) \mapsto \tilde{M}$ defines an anti-equivalence from the category of 1- t -modules over K to the category of 1- t -motifs over K . $\square$*

Finally, we briefly explain the relationship between Theorem 1 and the corresponding result of Papanikolas and Ramachandran [9]. Let E be a Drinfeld module of rank r over K and $M = M_E$ the corresponding effective t -motif. Define $M^\vee$ by

$$M^\vee := \text{Hom}_{K[t]}(M, C).$$

Note that $(M^\vee)' = \text{Hom}_{K[t]}(M', C')$.

Definition 9. We make $M^\vee$ into an effective t -motif by the rule

$$\sigma_{M^\vee} : \text{Hom}_{K[t]}(M', C') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{K[t]}(M, C) : f \mapsto \sigma_C \circ f \circ \sigma_M^{-1}.$$

The map σ_M is not surjective, but the above formula should be read as follows. After extending scalars from $K[t]$ to $K(t)$, the linear map σ_M becomes an isomorphism and one has that $\sigma_C \circ f \sigma_M^{-1}$ maps

$$(M^\vee)' \subset (M^\vee)' \otimes_{K[t]} K(t)$$

into

$$M^\vee \subset M^\vee \otimes_{K[t]} K(t).$$

Proposition 8. *There is a natural short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(M, K[t]) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(C, M^\vee) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]} \left(C', \frac{M^\vee}{\sigma_{M^\vee}(M^\vee)'} \right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

of $k[t]$ -modules.

This recovers the short exact sequence of Theorem 1.1 (b) of [9]. To see that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]} \left(C', \frac{M^\vee}{\sigma_{M^\vee}(M^\vee)'} \right)$$

is indeed an $(r-1)$ -dimensional K -vector space, first assume that $r > 1$. Note that C is free of rank 1 over $K[t]$ and that the quotient $M^\vee/\sigma_{M^\vee}(M^\vee)'$ is the dual of the tangent space of the t -module corresponding to $M^\vee$. Since $M^\vee$ is pure of weight $1 - 1/r$ and has rank r , it has dimension $r-1$. If $r = 1$ then $M^\vee$ does not correspond to an abelian t -module, but it is easy to see directly that $M^\vee = \sigma_{M^\vee}(M^\vee)'$.

Proof of the proposition. The module $\operatorname{Ext}^1(M, K[t])$ is the cokernel of the map

$$\delta_1: \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(M, K[t]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(M', K[t]): f \mapsto f \circ \sigma - \tau \circ f.$$

This map is naturally isomorphic with the map

$$\delta_2: \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C, M^\vee) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C', (M^\vee)')$$

given by

$$f \mapsto \sigma_{M^\vee}^{-1} \circ f \circ \sigma_C - f'.$$

(The double composition is well-defined in the sense of the remark after Definition 9.) Consider the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C, M^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C', (M^\vee)') \\ \operatorname{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{M^\vee} \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C, M^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & \operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]}(C', M^\vee) \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is the map

$$\delta_3: f \mapsto f \circ \sigma_C - \sigma_{M^\vee} \circ f'.$$

Note that the square commutes and that the cokernel of the bottom map is $\operatorname{Ext}^1(C, M^\vee)$. The right-hand side is injective with cokernel

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K[t]} \left(C', \frac{M^\vee}{\sigma_{M^\vee}(M^\vee)'} \right),$$

which yields the desired short exact sequence. $\square$

5. Uniformization and Hodge structures

For the remainder of this paper we assume that K is a local field and that $|\theta| > 1$, so in particular $1 : k[t] \rightarrow K : t \mapsto \theta$ is injective. We also fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K and a completion C of $\bar{K}$. The field C is algebraically closed.

Although we will consider effective t -motifs over C , we will need to *assume* that they are defined over $\bar{K} \subset C$. This is because we will use results of Anderson [1] that use a locally compact field of definition. It is possible that these results could be generalized to include all effective t -motifs over C .

5.1. Uniformization of abelian t -modules.

Proposition 9 (see §2 of [1]). *Let E be an abelian t -module over $\bar{K}$.*

- (1) *There exists a unique entire $k[t]$ -module homomorphism $\exp_E : \text{Lie}_E(C) \rightarrow E(C)$ that is tangent to the identity map;*
- (2) *The kernel of $\exp_E$ is a finitely generated free discrete sub- $k[t]$ -module in $\text{Lie}_E(C)$.*

When $\exp_E$ is surjective we say that E is *uniformizable*, and in that case we have a short exact sequence of $k[t]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_E \longrightarrow \text{Lie}_E(C) \longrightarrow E(C) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

where $\Lambda_E := \ker(\exp_E)$.

Example 2. Drinfeld modules are uniformizable [6].

Remark 3. There exist abelian t -modules that are not uniformizable [1].

Whether or not an abelian t -module E is uniformizable may be read off from the associated t -motif M . To do so, we need the Tate algebra:

$$C\{t\} := \left\{ \sum f_i t^i \in C[[t]] : |f_i| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

This algebra has the following properties:

- (1) $C\{t\}$ is a $C[t]$ -algebra;
- (2) $\tau : \sum f_i t^i \mapsto \sum f_i^q t^i$ defines an endomorphism of the k -algebra $C\{t\}$;
- (3) $C\{t\}^\tau = k[t]$.

Given a $\bar{K}[t]$ -module M we denote by $M\{t\}$ the tensor product $M \otimes_{\bar{K}[t]} C\{t\}$. If M is a σ -module then σ extends to a $C\{t\}$ -linear map $M'\{t\} \rightarrow M\{t\}$. Also the canonical map τ extends to a $k[t]$ -linear map $\tau : M\{t\} \rightarrow M'\{t\}$. The invariants $M\{t\}^{\sigma^\tau}$ form a $k[t]$ -module.

Definition 10 ([1, §2]). An effective t -motif M over $\bar{K}$ is said to be *analytically trivial* if the natural map $M\{t\}^{\sigma^\tau} \otimes_{k[t]} C\{t\} \rightarrow M\{t\}$ is an isomorphism.

Proposition 10 ([1, §2]). *Let $E/\bar{K}$ be an abelian t -module and let M be the associated effective t -motif. Let r be the rank of M . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}$ is free of rank r as $k[t]$ -module;
- (2) M is analytically trivial;
- (3) E is uniformizable. □

Proposition 11. *If M_1 and M_2 are analytically trivial t -motifs then any extension of M_1 by M_2 is analytically trivial.*

Proof. It suffices to show that any extension of the “trivial” $C\{t\}[\sigma]$ -module $C\{t\}$ by itself splits. Let D be such an extension. Then D has a basis (e_1, e_2) such that $\tau e_1 = e_1$ and $\tau e_2 = e_2 + f e_1$ for some $f \in C\{t\}$.

The extension splits if and only if there exists a $g \in C\{t\}$ such that

$$f = \tau(g) - g.$$

Note that if a is an element of C with $|a| < 1$ then there is a (unique) $x \in C$ such that $a = x^q - x$ and $|x| = |a|$. If we write f as $f = \sum_i f_i t^i$ then we can take for g any power series $\sum_i g_i t^i$ with $g_i^q - g_i = f_i$ for all i , as long as we choose g_i such that $|g_i| = |f_i|$ for all i sufficiently large. □

Next we will define Hodge structures of analytically trivial t -motifs and use them to recover the uniformization sequence (5) from M .

5.2. Hodge structures of t -motifs.

Definition 11. A (function field) *Hodge structure* is a diagram $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ consisting of

- (1) a $k[t]$ -module H_1 ;
- (2) a $C[[t - \theta]]$ -module H_2 ;
- (3) a $k[t]$ -linear map $H_1 \rightarrow H_2$.

A morphism of Hodge structures is a commutative square. The category of Hodge structures is denoted by $\mathcal{H}$.

The category $\mathcal{H}$ is an abelian category.

Remark 4. These structures were introduced by Pink in [10]. His definition contains both more data and more conditions, but for the purposes of this note the above simple definition suffices. It would be more correct to call our triples pre-Hodge structures.

If M/C is a uniformizable effective t -motif then every element of $M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}$ has an infinite radius of convergence [2, 3.13]. In particular, it makes sense to talk about the power series expansion of such an element around $t = \theta$. This gives the Hodge structure H_M associated with M , namely

$$H_M := [M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \longrightarrow M[[t - \theta]]],$$

where $M[[t - \theta]] := M \otimes_{C[t]} C[[t - \theta]]$. If M is a t -motif over a subfield of C then we define H_M to be Hodge structure of the extension of scalars of M to C .

In the remainder of this section we relate the Hodge structure $H = H_M$ of an effective t -motif M with strictly positive weights with the uniformization of the associated abelian t -module $E = E_M$. We largely follow Anderson [1], and for most of the part are merely rephrasing him using the language of Hodge structures.

A special role will be played by the following Hodge structures:

$$\mathbf{1} := [k[t] \rightarrow C[[t - \theta]]],$$

$$A := [k[t] \rightarrow C((t - \theta))],$$

and

$$B := [0 \rightarrow C((t - \theta))/C[[t - \theta]]].$$

Note that they naturally sit in a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 12. *For all uniformizable abelian t -modules E , and functorially in E , there is a commutative square of $k[t]$ -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_E & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Lie}_E(C) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, B), \end{array}$$

where H is the Hodge structure of M_E . Both horizontal maps are isomorphisms and the right map is induced by the natural map $A \rightarrow B$.

Before giving the proof we first state the following consequence:

Corollary 4. Λ_E generates $\mathrm{Lie}_E(C)$ as a $C[[t - \theta]]$ -module.

This corollary generalises Corollary 3.3.6 of [1], which employs the additional hypothesis that E be pure.

Proof of the corollary. By Proposition 12 it suffices to check that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, A) \otimes_{k[t]} C[[t - \theta]] \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, B)$$

induced by $A \rightarrow B$ is surjective, which is straightforward. $\square$

The proof of Proposition 12 fills the rest of this section.

Lemma 1. *The sequence of $C[[t - \theta]]$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \otimes_{k[t]} C[[t - \theta]] \longrightarrow M[[t - \theta]] \xrightarrow{-\epsilon} M/\sigma M' \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

is exact.

Proof. Consider the commutative square of $C[[t - \theta]]$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M'\{t\}^{\tau\sigma} \otimes_{k[t]} C[[t - \theta]] & \longrightarrow & M'[[t - \theta]] \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \sigma \downarrow \\ M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \otimes_{k[t]} C[[t - \theta]] & \longrightarrow & M[[t - \theta]]. \end{array}$$

We first show that the top map is an isomorphism. To do so, it suffices to verify that its determinant is an isomorphism. Since the formation of this map commutes with tensor products and exterior powers, we can reduce to the case where M is the Carlitz t -motif. In that case a simple computation shows that $M'\{t\}^{\tau\sigma}$ is generated by

$$(-\theta)^{\frac{-q}{q-1}} \prod_{i>0} \left(1 - \frac{t}{\theta^{q^i}}\right) e$$

whose image is a generator of $M'[[t - \theta]]$.

Now since the left and upper morphisms are isomorphisms, from which we obtain an isomorphism between the cokernels of the right and lower maps. The cokernel of the right map is isomorphic with $M/\sigma M'$, so combining these we get the desired short exact sequence. $\square$

Dualizing the sequence (6) à la §2 gives the bottom isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, B) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_C(M_C/\sigma M'_C, C) = \mathrm{Lie}_E(C)$$

in the commutative square of Proposition 12.

Lemma 2. *Let N be a non-negative integer. For all $m \in M$ and for all $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Lie}_E(C) = \mathrm{Hom}_C(M_C/\sigma M'_C, C)$ the Laurent series*

$$\beta_N(m, \epsilon) := \sum_{i>-N} \exp(t^{-i-1}\epsilon)(m)t^i \in C((t)) \quad (7)$$

defines a function which is meromorphic in $t = \theta$ and

$$\mathrm{Res}_{t=\theta} \beta_N(m, \epsilon) = -\epsilon(m + \sigma M'). \quad (8)$$

Proof. The validity of the statements does not depend on N . For $N = 0$ a proof is in [1, 3.3.4]. $\square$

Consider the map

$$\mathrm{Lie}_E(C) \times M \rightarrow C((t)) : (\epsilon, m) \mapsto \beta_N(m, \epsilon) = \sum_{i \geq -N} \exp_E(t^{-i-1}\epsilon)(m)t^i,$$

which is $k[t]$ -linear in the first and $C[\sigma]$ -linear in the second argument. The restriction

$$\Lambda_E \times M \rightarrow C((t))$$

is independent of N . Also, it is $C[t, \sigma]$ -linear in M so it extends to a map

$$\Lambda_E \times M\{t\} \rightarrow C((t)),$$

which is $C\{t\}[\sigma]$ -linear in its second argument. Restriction to σ -invariants now gives a $k[t]$ -bilinear form

$$\Lambda_E \times M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \rightarrow k((t)).$$

Lemma 3. *The above bilinear form takes values in $k[t]$ and the resulting*

$$\Lambda_E \times M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \rightarrow k[t]$$

is a perfect pairing.

Proof. See ¶2.6 of [1]. □

Lemma 3 provides the top isomorphism

$$\Lambda_E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k[t]}(M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}, k[t]) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, A),$$

of the commutative square whose existence is claimed in Proposition 12 and Lemma 2 shows commutativity. This finishes the proof of Proposition 12.

6. Transcendental theory of 1- t -motifs

As in the previous section, M denotes a uniformizable t -motif over $\bar{K}$ whose weights are strictly positive and $E = E(M)$ be the corresponding abelian t -module. The Hodge structure of M is denoted by H . In this section we will show that any extension $\tilde{H}$ of H by $\mathbf{1}$ comes from a unique 1- t -motif $\tilde{M}$, extension of M by $\mathbf{1}$.

Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(H, -)$ to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

of Hodge structures we obtain a long exact sequence of $k[t]$ -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Lemma 4. *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. Injectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}(H, A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(H, B)$ follows from Proposition 12. The only thing that is new is the surjectivity of $\mathrm{Hom}(H, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1})$. It suffices to show $\mathrm{Ext}^1(H, A) = 0$. So let

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \tilde{H} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$$

with

$$\tilde{H} = [\tilde{H}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2]$$

be an extension of Hodge structures. Choose a splitting $s_1 : \tilde{H}_1 \rightarrow k[t]$ of

$$0 \rightarrow k[t] \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that by Lemma 1 the natural map

$$H_1 \otimes_{k[t]} C((t - \theta)) \longrightarrow H_2 \otimes_{C[[t - \theta]]} C((t - \theta))$$

is an isomorphism, and hence also

$$\tilde{H}_1 \otimes_{k[t]} C((t - \theta)) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_2 \otimes_{C[[t - \theta]]} C((t - \theta))$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that the splitting s_1 induces a compatible splitting $s_2 : \tilde{H}_2 \rightarrow C((t - \theta))$ and hence that $\tilde{H}$ is a split extension of H by A . $\square$

Consider now the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Lambda_E & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathrm{Lie}_E(C) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, B) \\
\exp_E \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E(C) & & \mathrm{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

The left column is the uniformization short exact sequence. The right column is a short exact sequence from Proposition 4. The horizontal isomorphisms are given by Proposition 12.

Theorem 2 (repeated from §1). *The natural map*

$$h : \mathrm{Ext}^1(M_C, C[t]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1})$$

is an isomorphism.

In fact we will prove the following stronger statement:

Proposition 13. *The unique isomorphism*

$$u : E(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1})$$

that makes the above diagram commute coincides with the natural map

$$h : E(C) = \text{Ext}^1(M_C, C[t]) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1}).$$

The proof of this proposition takes up the rest of this section. The idea of the proof is to first prove that u and h coincide on the torsion submodule of $E(C)$, and then to use a kind of density argument to conclude that they coincide on all of $E(C)$.

Lemma 5. *For all integers $N > 0$ and $x \in E(C)$ with $t^N x = 0$ we have $h(x) = u(x)$.*

Proof. We need to show that for all $N > 0$ and for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_E$ the image of

$$\epsilon := t^{-N} \lambda \in \text{Lie}_E$$

in $\text{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1})$ does not depend on the chosen path in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Lie}_E(C) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(H, B) \\ \exp_E \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow \\ \text{Ext}^1(M, \mathbf{1}) & \xrightarrow{h} & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{H}}^1(H, \mathbf{1}). \end{array}$$

We first construct the 1- t -motif $\tilde{M}$ corresponding to $\exp(\epsilon)$. By (4) it is defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{M} \longrightarrow M \oplus t^{-N} C[t] \xrightarrow{(\beta_N(\epsilon, -), -1)} t^{-N} C[t]/C[t] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor $\mathcal{H}$ one finds that $h(\exp(\epsilon))$ is given by the kernel of the following morphism of Hodge structures (from the left column to the right column):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \oplus t^{-N} k[t] & \longrightarrow & t^{-N} k[t]/k[t] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M[[t - \theta]] \oplus C[[t - \theta]] & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{9}$$

where the upper map is given by

$$(m, f) \mapsto \beta_N(\epsilon, m) - f.$$

The kernel of (9) coincides with the kernel of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \oplus t^{-N} k[t] & \longrightarrow & t^{-N} k[t]/k[t] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M[[t - \theta]] \oplus C((t - \theta)) & \longrightarrow & C((t - \theta))/C[[t - \theta]], \end{array} \tag{10}$$

where both horizontal maps are given by $(m, f) \mapsto \beta_N(\epsilon, m) - f$.

On the other hand, the extension $\delta\alpha(\epsilon)$ is the kernel of the following subdiagram of (10)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau} \oplus k[t] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M[[t - \theta]] \oplus C((t - \theta)) & \longrightarrow & C((t - \theta))/C[[t - \theta]]. \end{array} \quad (11)$$

To conclude that the extensions $\delta\alpha(\epsilon)$ and $h \exp(\epsilon)$ coincide it suffices to observe that the quotient of the diagram (10) by the diagram (11) is an isomorphism when seen as a map from the left column to the right column. $\square$

Lemma 6. *The homomorphism $h : E(C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(H, \mathbf{1})$ is locally analytic.*

Proof of the lemma. Denote the rank of M by r and choose a $K[t]$ -basis of M . This induces a basis of M' , so let the r by r matrix A over $C[t]$ represent the linear map $\sigma : M'_C \rightarrow M_C$ with respect to these bases. Extensions of M_C by $C[t]$ are then represented by block matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & B \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

where B is a length r row vector. Denote the extension of M_C by $C[t]$ given by B by $\tilde{M}(B)$. There is an integer d such that all extension classes are represented by some B whose entries have degree at most d and it suffices to show that the homomorphism h is locally analytic as a function of the coefficients of these entries.

The $\sigma\tau$ -invariants of $\tilde{M}(B)\{t\}$ are those pairs (v, w) with $v \in C\{t\}$ and $w \in M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}$ such that

$$\tau(v) - v = B \cdot \tau(w).$$

Fix a basis $w_1, \dots, w_r$ of $M\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}$. Now there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all B whose entries have degree at most d and whose coefficients have absolute value at most ϵ and for all i the power series $B \cdot \tau(w_i) \in C\{t\}$ has all coefficients of absolute value smaller than 1.

For these B , let v_i be the unique element of $C\{t\}$ such that $\tau(v_i) - v_i = B \cdot \tau(w_i)$ and which has all coefficients smaller than 1 in absolute value. This v_i is given by the formula

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \tau^j(-B)$$

and clearly is an analytic function of B . The (v_i, w_i) together with $(1, 0)$ form a basis of $\tilde{M}(B)\{t\}^{\sigma\tau}$ and using this basis one sees that the resulting extension of Hodge structures depends analytically on the coefficients of B . $\square$

Lemma 7. *There exists a neighbourhood U of 0 in $\text{Lie}_E(C)$ on which $h \circ \exp_E$ and $u \circ \exp_E$ agree.*

Proof. Since $h \circ \exp_E$ is locally analytic and $u \circ \exp_E$ is analytic their difference f is a locally analytic function on $\mathrm{Lie}_E(C)$.

Now by Proposition 12 we have $\mathrm{Lie}_E(C) \cong C[[t - \theta]]^r / L$ with L a free sub $C[[t - \theta]]$ -module of rank r , such that Λ_E is the image of the natural map $k[t]^r \rightarrow C[[t - \theta]]^r / L$. By Lemma 5 f vanishes on the image of

$$k((t^{-1}))^r \rightarrow C[[t - \theta]]^r / L.$$

We will show that any locally analytic additive function on $C[[t - \theta]]^r / L$ that vanishes on the image of $k((t^{-1}))^r$ is locally constant, and so in particular that there exists a neighbourhood U of 0 on which f vanishes.

Clearly enlarging L we may assume that $L = (t - \theta)^s C[[t - \theta]]^r$ for some positive integer s . Also, without loss of generality we may assume that $r = 1$. So it suffices to show that any locally analytic additive function g on the C -vector space

$$V = C[[t - \theta]] / (t - \theta)^s C[[t - \theta]]$$

that vanishes on the image of $k((t^{-1}))$ is locally constant.

So assume $g : V \rightarrow C$ is not locally constant. Then the kernel of g is a smooth analytic subgroup of V whose tangent space $T \subset V$ to the origin is a proper sub-vector space.

For any positive integer i denote the image of $t^{-i} \in C[[t - \theta]]$ in V by v_i . The v_i converge to 0 as i tends to infinity. Let $[v_i]$ denote the image of v_i in the projective space $\mathbf{P}(V)$. The sequence $([v_i])_i$ is periodic yet it there is no proper subspace $T \subset V$ such that the sequence lies within $\mathbf{P}(T) \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 13. Let ϵ be an element of $\mathrm{Lie}_E(C)$. Note that for sufficiently large n we have that the actions of t^{p^n} and θ^{p^n} on $\mathrm{Lie}_E(C)$ coincide. Possibly making n even larger we may also assume that $\theta^{-p^n} \epsilon$ lies in the U whose existence is asserted in Lemma 7. Using such n we find

$$(h - u) \exp_E(\epsilon) = t^{p^n} (h - u) \exp_E(\theta^{-p^n} \epsilon) = 0.$$

This finishes the proofs of Proposition 13 and of Theorem 2. $\square$

References

- [1] Greg W. Anderson. t -motives. *Duke Math. J.*, 53(2):457–502, 1986. MR850546.
- [2] Greg W. Anderson, W. Dale Brownawell, and Matthew A. Papanikolas. Determination of the algebraic relations among special Γ -values in positive characteristic. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 160(1):237–313, 2004. MR2119721.
- [3] Greg W. Anderson and Dinesh S. Thakur. Tensor powers of the Carlitz module and zeta values. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 132(1):159–191, 1990. MR1059938.
- [4] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. III. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (44):5–77, 1974. MR0498552.

- [5] Jean Dieudonné. Groupes de Lie et hyperalgèbres de Lie sur un corps de caractéristique $p > 0$. VII. *Math. Ann.*, 134:114–133, 1957. MR0098146.
- [6] V. G. Drinfel'd. Elliptic modules. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 94(136):594–627, 656, 1974. MR0384707.
- [7] Francis Gardeyn. *t-Motives and Galois Representations*. PhD thesis, Universiteit Gent, 2001.
- [8] Gérard Laumon. *Cohomology of Drinfeld modular varieties. Part I*, volume 41 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR1381898.
- [9] Matthew A. Papanikolas and Niranjan Ramachandran. A Weil-Barsotti formula for Drinfeld modules. *J. Number Theory*, 98(2):407–431, 2003. MR1955425.
- [10] Richard Pink. Hodge structures over function fields. *pre-print*, 1997.
- [11] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*. McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968. MR0263823.
- [12] N. R. Stalder. *Algebraic Monodromy Groups of A -Motives*. PhD thesis, ETH Zürich, 2007.
- [13] L. Taelman. Artin t -Motifs. *J. Number Theory*, 129:142–157, 2009. MR2468475.
- [14] Lenny Taelman. Special L -values of t -motives: a conjecture. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (16):2957–2977, 2009. MR2533793.
- [15] Sung Sik Woo. Extensions of Drinfel'd modules of rank 2 by the Carlitz module. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 32(2):251–257, 1995. MR1356079.

Lenny Taelman, Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden, Postbus 9512, 2312 MB Leiden, the Netherlands

E-mail: lenny.taelman@gmail.com